ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JAM:	ES & HATTE	N. GLER
Ву:	MAR) De cuty Clar

NOV 3 0 2006

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,)		
Plaintiff,)))	CIVIL ACTION NO. $1:06-44=2.45$	Won
v.)		
DAKOTA ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a SUBWAY,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
Defendant.)) _)		

COMPLAINT

This is an action under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment practices based on disability and to provide appropriate relief to Kenneth Paul ("Paul"). The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleges that Dakota Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Subway ("Defendant") refused to allow Paul to return to work following a medical leave of absence and terminated Paul because it perceived him to be disabled.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to

Section 107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and pursuant to Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title I of the ADA and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 107(a) and Section 503(c) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a) and § 12203(c), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been doing business in the State of Georgia, and has continuously employed at least fifteen (15) employees.
 - 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer

engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(5), and Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(7), which incorporates by reference Sections 701(g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e(g) and (h).

6. At all relevant times, Defendant has been a covered entity under Section 101(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 7. More than thirty (30) days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Paul filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title I of the ADA by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 8. Since at least January 2005, the Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 102 of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(b), by refusing to allow Paul to return to work following a medical leave of absence for medical treatment, and terminating Paul's employment because it perceived him to be disabled.
- 9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Paul of equal employment opportunities and otherwise to affect adversely his status as an employee because of his perceived disability.

- 10. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to inflict emotional pain, suffering, and inconvenience upon Paul, and to deprive Paul of the financial and other benefits of working for Defendant.
 - 11. The effect of the practices complained of above was intentional.
- 12. The effect of the practices complained of above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Paul.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any employment practice that discriminates on the basis of disability;
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs that provide equal employment opportunities for individuals with disabilities, as those terms are defined by the ADA, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices;
- C. Order Defendant to make Paul whole by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment

practices, including but not limited to reinstatement and/or front pay.

- D. Order Defendant to make Paul whole by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices described above, including job search expenses and medical expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Paul by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices described above, including emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay Paul punitive damages for its malicious or reckless conduct, as described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald S. Cooper General Counsel

James L. Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel

Robert K. Dawkins

Michigan Bar No. P38289

Regional Attorney

Lakisha Duckett Georgia Bar No.231641 Trial Attorney

EEOC

Atlanta District Office 100 Alabama St., SW, Suite 4R30 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Telephone: (404) 562-6815

Facsimile: (404) 562-6905